

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:

Stewart D. Lyman and
M. Patricia Beckmann

Attorney Docket No. : 2813-E

1994

Serial No.: 08/243,545

Group Art Unit: 1812

Filed: May 11, 1994

Examiner: L. Spector

For: LIGANDS FOR FLT3 RECEPTORS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: M. Twitty
Application Processing Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

Sir:

In response to the Notice to File Missing Parts mailed June 22, 1994, in connection with the above-captioned application, applicants provide the following information. The June 22 Notice indicated that applicants had failed to comply with Sequence Rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. Applicants submit, however, that the June 22 Notice was mailed in error as the subject application, as filed, was in full compliance with the Sequence Rules.

In support of applicants position, reference is made to Sequence Rule 1.821(e) which states:

...If the computer readable form of a new application is to be identical with the computer readable form of another application of the applicant on file in the Office, reference may be made to the other application and computer readable form in lieu of filing a duplicate computer readable form in the new application. The new application shall be accompanied by a letter making such a reference to the other application and computer readable form, both of which shall be completely identified.

The computer readable form of the instant application is identical to the computer readable form of parent application Serial No. 08/162,407, filed December 3, 1993. According to Rule 1.821(e), applicants are not required to submit a new computer readable form that is duplicative of a computer readable form of another application of the applicant that already exists in the Patent and Trademark Office. Instead, a statement under Rule 1.821(e) is all that is required. Clearly, applicants have complied with this Rule since such a statement was made at the time of filing of the instant application. The undersigned



0380
6/22/94

A/W
#3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:

Stewart D. Lyman and
M. Patricia Beckmann

Attorney Docket No. : 2813-E

JUL 2 1994

Serial No.: 08/243,545

Group Art Unit: 1812

Filed: May 11, 1994

Examiner: L. Spector

For: LIGANDS FOR FLT3 RECEPTORS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: M. Twitty
Application Processing Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

Sir:

In response to the Notice to File Missing Parts mailed June 22, 1994, in connection with the above-captioned application, applicants provide the following information. The June 22 Notice indicated that applicants had failed to comply with Sequence Rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. Applicants submit, however, that the June 22 Notice was mailed in error as the subject application, as filed, was in full compliance with the Sequence Rules.

In support of applicants position, reference is made to Sequence Rule 1.821(e) which states:

...If the computer readable form of a new application is to be identical with the computer readable form of another application of the applicant on file in the Office, reference may be made to the other application and computer readable form in lieu of filing a duplicate computer readable form in the new application. The new application shall be accompanied by a letter making such a reference to the other application and computer readable form, both of which shall be completely identified.

The computer readable form of the instant application is identical to the computer readable form of parent application Serial No. 08/162,407, filed December 3, 1993. According to Rule 1.821(e), applicants are not required to submit a new computer readable form that is duplicative of a computer readable form of another application of the applicant that already exists in the Patent and Trademark Office. Instead, a statement under Rule 1.821(e) is all that is required. Clearly, applicants have complied with this Rule since such a statement was made at the time of filing of the instant application. The undersigned

Immunex Corporation
Response to Missing Parts
Serial No. 08/243,545

attorney for applicants hereby certifies that enclosed is a true photocopy of the original statement under Rule 1.821(e) that was mailed with the application papers when filed.

Applicants respectfully submit that the instant application, as filed, complies with the Sequence Rules, and request the Notice to File Missing Parts be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

IMMUNEX CORPORATION



Stephen L. Malaska
Registration No. 32,655

Immunex Corporation
51 University Street
Seattle, WA 98101

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date below.

7/14/94
Date

